Page 1 of 9



PROJECT BUSINESS CASE

Project Number:

Project Title: Decent Homes, Lordshill 2011/12

Release (Draft/Final) Version Number Date Project Manager Project Sponsor Directorate Division Draft

1 21/03/2011 Steve Ransley Geoff Miller Neighbourhoods Decent homes

The appropriate approval must be obtained before for the Business Case is registered on SharePoint. Please refer to the Gateway Approval process for Gold, Silver & Bronze projects

Project Type Approved by S

1. OUTLINE PROJECT PROPOSAL

1.1. Background

To carryout the refurbishment of 236 Kitchens and 174 Bathrooms in the Lordshill area, contributing towards maintaining the current level of Decent Homes across the city. Works also include electrical upgrades within the kitchens

1.2. Update to Outline Project Proposal

Confirm project start and end dates below and highlight any changes since the Outline Project Proposal was agreed.

Project Start Date: 04/04/2011

Project End Date: 30/11/2011

2. OPTIONS APPRAISAL

2.1. Options Investigated

| Option Description | Benefits | Costs | Risks |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Do nothing | None | None | Larger number of properties |
| | | | failing decent Homes levels |
| Carryout works as | Maintains current | £1,801,082 including | As described in OPP |
| described | levels and property is | fees | |
| | easier to let | | |
| Carryout refurbishment | Property is completely | £3,500,000 including | Insufficient funding |
| to whole of property at | refurbished and no | fees | available, Procurement |
| the same time | further works planned | | problems and timescales |
| | | | unachievable. |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Complete the above or attach an option appraisal template.

2.2. Recommended Option

Recommend option 2 as this is a realistic approach and will assist in maintaining the council's current high level of homes meeting the Decent Homes level

3. PROJECT OBJECTIVES AND MEASURES

3.1. Objectives

What does the project aim to achieve and/or deliver? Achievement of the project objectives will be used to assess project Quality at G5.

To carryout the refurbishment of 236 Kitchens and 174 Bathrooms in the Lordshill area,

3.2. Service / Business Benefits

Who will benefit and how?

Tenants both now and in the future having modern facilities within their home

3.3. Estimated Cashable benefits

If applicable, list any cashable savings and state the period over which they will be delivered. Obtain verification from Corporate Finance that the savings are achievable and attach the verification as an Appendix to this document.

3.4. *Quality Measures

Baseline performance level (at project start date): 04/04/2011 Performance target/s (at project end date): 30/11/2011

The measures will be used to assess project Quality at project closure.

4. PROJECT KEY DRIVER

Is it more important that the project is delivered within the set Timescale, Cost or Quality? For an Olympic project the timescale would be critical so, for example, the weightings could be Time 50%, Quality 30%, Budget 20%.

The weightings will be used to assess project success at Gateway 5. In the Olympic example above, if the project was delivered on Time and to the Quality specified but was significantly over budget, overall, the project would be considered a success due to the relatively low weighting for Budget.

| Criteria | Weighted % score | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | If all 3 criteria are of equal importance, score each 33% | | |
| TIME (see section 1.2 above) | 33 | | |
| COST (see Appendix 5.1 below) | 33 | | |
| QUALITY (see section 3.4 above) | 33 | | |

4.1. Risk Quantification and Sensitivity Analysis

Please complete the table below with the known risks to this project or attach a Risk, Assumptions, Issues, Dependencies (RAID) log:

| Risk | Risk Owner | Probability | Impact on project (H/M/L) | Timing | Mitigation |
|--|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Tenant refusal | SCC | low | low | Throughou t | Property is Decent until void. |
| Contractor enters into Administration | SCC & Capita | low | Med | Throughou t | Use of in house staff and frameworks |
| Long spells inclement weather | SCC & Capita | low | low | Autumn / Winter periods | Adjust programme to suit |
| Current framework expires before completion of works | SCC & Capita | low | low | Oct onwards | Short procurement exemption to complete project |

5. APPENDICES

5.1. Project Costs

Please complete 'Project Costs' below. This must be attached **as an Appendix** to the Business Case.

5.2. Initial Impact Assessment

Please attach Quick Initial Impact Assessment.

http://intranet.southampton.gov.uk/highlights/campaigns/IIA.asp#0

APPENDIX 5.1 – PROJECT COSTS

5.2.1 Capital costs

The total one-off capital costs for the project, including Capita costs, external spend and any internal business costs eg: backfill

| £000s | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Subsequent years total | Total | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------------------------|-----------|--|
| Asset costs | | | | | | |
| Capita fees | 105,175 | | | | 105,175 | |
| Contractor | 1,633,146 | | | | 1,633,146 | |
| Internal SCC business fees | 62,761 | | | | 62,761 | |
| Total capital costs | 1,801,082 | | | | 1,801,082 | |
| | | | | | | |

5.2.2 Revenue costs

The total revenue (ongoing) costs for any assets (eg: hardware and software), maintenance charges, support etc

| £000s | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Subsequent years total | Total |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------|-------|
| Project Revenue Costs | Project Revenue Costs | | | | |
| Asset costs | | | | | |
| External fees (eg Capita, | | | | | |
| other partners or | | | | | |
| contractors) | | | | | |
| Internal SCC business fees | | | | | |
| Total revenue costs | | | | | |

5.2.3 **Project Resources**

The total number of days required for the project by Council staff, Capita, other partners or contractors. This section is particularly important to complete when no budget is allocated to the project.

| Days | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Subsequent years total | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| Resource Days | | | | | |
| SCC staff – see example | | | | | |
| below: | | | | | |
| Legal | 5 days | | | | 5 days |
| Asset management | 150 | | | | 150 |
| | days | | | | days |
| | | | | | |
| Finance | 20 days | | | | 20 |
| | | | | | days |
| • | | | | | |
| Capita, other partners or | 150 | | | | 150 |
| contractors | days | | | | days |
| Total Resources Days | 325 | | | | 325 |
| | days | | | | days |

5.2.4 Contingency

Consider adding contingency funds. By default, 10% of the total project cost should be added.

N/A

| | £ | Reason |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Project Cost | | |
| Add contingency | | Insert reason if more than 10% |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | |

Bronze projects:

The Business Case should be updated for Bronze projects at Gateway 3 and a Project Plan attached. A detailed Impact Assessment may also be required: http://intranet.southampton.gov.uk/highlights/campaigns/IIA.asp#0